



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/718,092	92 11/20/2003		Herman Rodriguez	AUS920030853US1	9425
35525	7590	10/14/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM CORI	P (YA)		RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM		
C/O YEE & P.O. BOX 8		ATES PC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75380				3629	
				DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/718,092	RODRIGUEZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dennis Ruhl	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	, , , , ,	• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	. 🗖						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/20/03.	_	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/718,092

Art Unit: 3629

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5-9,11-14,16-21,23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cogan (2002/0138350).

For claims 1,5,6,8,9,11,13,16,17,19,20,21,23,24, Cogan discloses a method of ordering food using a wireless device. A location 20 is provided for the transmission of the order using the wireless device. This location is the claimed "hot spot". When the data is transmitted, it is then detected that a wireless device is present. The wireless device has transmitted the menu desired and the restaurant prepares that same menu. The wireless device is used to place the order. With respect to the limitation of "sending the menu" to the wireless device, this is done when the menu application 10 is loaded into the wireless device.

For claims 2,14, the customer is charged money for the order.

For claim 3, clearly the menu of a restaurant is based on those items that are available. You cannot sell what you do not have.

For claims 7,18,25, if no preferences are available and no transmission is sent, then one would need to use the customary manner of ordering, which is verbal.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,092

Art Unit: 3629

For claim 12, the user preference of what food they want to eat is a dietary need.

The food they order is needed by them to eat and this constitutes a dietary need as claimed.

Page 3

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 4,10,15,22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cogan.

For claims 4,10,15,22, not disclosed is the sending of an order identification to the wireless device. Gogan discloses the use of a computer as the wireless device. The claimed order identification is considered to be a receipt. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/718,092

Art Unit: 3629

. A.

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an electronic receipt for the purchase, where the receipt is sent to the wireless device.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Ruhl whose telephone number is 703-308-2262. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703-308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DENNIS RUHL PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4